


Biocompatibility, FDA and the ISO 10993

Prof. Steven S. Satterman, <http://satterman.umn.edu/>



Topics

- Biocompatibility
- Foreign Body Giant Cells (FBGS)
- Biofouling
- FDA & ISO 10993 biocompatibility assessment
- Biological effects.

Steven S. Satterman

ISO Definition of a Medical Device

- Any **instrument, apparatus, appliance, material** or other article, including **software**, whether used alone or in combination, *intended by the manufacturer to be used for human beings (in-vivo)* solely or principally for the following purposes:
 - Diagnosis, prevention, monitoring, treatment or alleviation of disease;
 - Diagnosis, monitoring, treatment, alleviation of or compensation for an injury or handicap;
 - Investigation, replacement or modification of the anatomy or of a physiological process;
 - Control of conception.

Steven S. Satterman

Biocompatibility

- **Biocompatibility testing answers two fundamental questions:**
 - Is the material safe?
 - Does it have the necessary physical and mechanical properties for its proposed function?
- The extent to which a material needs to be characterized depends on:
 - Type of material,
 - End use of the device (is it a medical device?),
 - Function of the material within the device.
 - Availability of existing data on the material.

Steven S. Satterman

Foreign Body Reaction

1. **Phagocytic attack and encapsulation of a device.**
2. When an implanted material contacts blood, a layer of host proteins adsorb to the material surface.
 - Proteins fibrinogen, fibronectin, and vitronectin; immunoglobulin G (IgG); and the complement-activated fragment C3b.
3. **Neutrophil infiltration then leads to inflammation**
 - Neutrophils normally phagocytose microorganisms and foreign bodies.
 - Monocytes, macrophages and lymphocytes lead to chronic inflammation.
 - Granulation tissue develops as endothelial cells and fibroblasts proliferate.

Steven S. Satterman

Byers, J., CM Giacchetti BD Ratner: Engineering biomaterials to integrate and heal: the biocompatibility paradigm shifts. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 109(6) 2012.


4. **Macrophages fuse forming foreign body giant cells.**
5. **Fibrous encapsulation of the device occurs.**

- All materials inside and outside the device, including materials encountered during the manufacturing and preservation process have a potential to evoke a *foreign body response*.

Steven S. Satterman

Host Foreign Body Response...

1. Adsorption of proteins & biomolecule,
2. Stimulation of neutrophils in response to inflammation signals.
3. Substitution of monocytes and macrophages.
4. Inflammatory & regenerative responses.
5. Multinucleated FBGC
6. Fibrous encapsulation.





Barkam, S. et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. WIREs Nanomedicine 2013, 5:544-568

Steven S. Satterman

Foreign Body Giant Cells...


- SEM photomicrographs showing fusion of macrophages into foreign body giant cells:
 - Individual macrophage aggregation on silicon dioxide (day 7) (left).
 - Enlarging giant cell with fusion of cytoplasm and consolidation of nuclei (day 14) (right).



Vostorcan, G. et al. Biocompatibility and biolouing of MEMS drug delivery devices. Biomaterials 24(11), 2003

Steven S. Satterman

Cellular response to implanted materials...



Byers, J., CM Giachelli, BD Ratner. Engineering biomaterials to integrate and heal: the biocompatibility paradigm shifts. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 109(8) 2012.

Steven S. Satterman

Biofouling

1. Biofouling is the process whereby functioning of a medical device is interfered with by the biological response of the host.
2. This commonly occurs when *macrophages* and *foreign body giant cells (FBGCs)* attach to the implanted device, accumulate, grow and interfere with normal operation.
3. Surface coating of biomaterials seems one good approach to lessen the inflammatory response, lessen macrophage adhesion and FBGCs growth, and improve wound healing.
4. The foreign body response by the host is largely independent of the material's being polymeric, ceramic or metallic; being hydrophobic or hydrophilic; or being hard or soft.

Steven S. Satterman

5. The status of the proteins on a material surface is believed to determine the ultimate biocompatibility of a given polymer.
6. Producing a more biocompatible surface requires achieving specific responses between the polymer surface and the adjacent cells and to reduce non-specific interactions.
 - Methods include passivating the polymer surfaces to minimize non-specific protein interaction.
 - Functionalizing the polymer surface with biomolecules to induce specific protein adsorption and cell responses.

Steven S. Satterman

Chen, H. et al. Biocompatible polymer materials: Role of protein-surface interactions. Progress in Polymer Science 33, pp 1059-1087 (2008).

Non-Fouling Surfaces...

- Non-fouling (i.e. protein adsorption-resistant) polymer coatings for biomaterials provides a more rigorous approach to reduce inflammatory responses. Such polymer surface coatings must satisfy the following constraints:
 - Use of nontoxic (biocompatible) materials:
 - Effectively inhibit in vivo biofouling,
 - Appropriate thickness and permeability to allow analyte transport,
 - Techniques to deposit coating onto a variety of materials and architectures
 - Must be mechanically, chemically, and electrically robust to withstand surface deposition, sterilization methods, implantation procedures, and in vivo environment.
 - Polyethylene glycol (PEG), $\text{HO}(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n\text{H}$, is an example.

Steven S. Satterman


Byers, J., CM Giachelli, BD Ratner. Engineering biomaterials to integrate and heal: the biocompatibility paradigm shifts. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 109(8) (2012).

Historical Observations...

- Hydrophobic surfaces tend to absorb proteins.
 - From unfolding of proteins on the surface and release of bound water molecules.
- Cationic proteins bind to anionic surfaces and anionic proteins bind to cationic surfaces.
- Proteins tend to adsorb in monolayers.
- A minimum PEG molecular weight is required to provide good protein repulsion (500-2000).
 - Mechanism may be resistance of the polymer coil to compression.

Steven S. Satterman

Producing Non-Fouling Surfaces...




- Purple dots are non-fouling chemical moiety such as $(\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O})_n$ (Polyethylene glycol)
- (A) Cross-linked network of long polymeric chains.
 - (B) Polymers grown off the surface.
 - (C) Oligo-non-fouling headgroups on a self-assembled monolayer (such as thiol)
 - (D) Surfactant absorbed to the surface (green dots are hydrophobic tails).

Steven S. Satterman

Ratner, B.D., A.S. Hoffman, F.J. Schoen, J.E. Lemons, *Biomaterials Science*, Academic Press, New York (2013).

Surface Engineering...



Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S. et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544-568

FDA (US Food & Drug Administration)...

Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, "Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process"

Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff

Document issued on September 4, 2020

The draft of this document was issued on April 23, 2019.

This document supersedes "Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, 'Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process.'" issued June 16, 2016.

For questions about this document, contact the Office of Product Evaluation and Quality (OPEQ) at OPEQ@FDA.HHS.gov or call 1-800-738-7175. For OPEQ's Office of Communication, Outreach and Development (OCOD) at 1-800-634-7546, or OCOD@FDA.HHS.gov.



Steven S. Sallerman

ISO 10993 Biocompatibility Assessment

- The ISO 10993 International Standard pertains to:
 - Surface devices on the skin, mucosal membranes, breached or compromised surfaces.
 - External communicating devices with blood, tissue, bone, dentin.
 - Implantable devices.
- Its purpose is to protect humans and to serve as a framework for selecting tests to evaluate biological responses.
- In so doing consideration has been given to minimize the number and exposure of test animals.

Steven S. Sallerman

Table A.1: Biocompatibility Evaluation Endpoints


Medical device categorization by		Biological effects														
Nature of Body Contact	Contact Duration	Cytotoxicity	Sensitization	Irritation or Inflammation/Reactivity	Acute Systemic Toxicity	Material-Mediated Pyrogenicity	Subacute/Subchronic Toxicity	Genotoxicity	Implantation	Hemocompatibility	Chronic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity	Degradation	Biological Effects	
																A - limited (<24 h)
Surface device	Intact skin	A	X	X	X											
		B	X	X	X											
		C	X	X	X											
	Mucosal membranes	A	X	X	X											
		B	X	X	X	O	O	O	O							
		C	X	X	X	O	O	X	X	O	O					
Breached or compromised surface	A	X	X	X	O	O										
	B	X	X	X	O	O	O	O								
	C	X	X	X	O	O	X	X	O	O	O	O				
External communicating device	Blood path, indirect	A	X	X	X	X	O									
		B	X	X	X	X	O			X						


Use of International Standard ISO 10993-1, "Biological evaluation of medical devices - Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk management process", Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff Document issued on: September 4, 2020

Medical device categorization by			Biological effect															
Nature of Body Contact	Contact	Contact Duration																
			Cytotoxicity	Sensitization	Irritation or Intracutaneous Reactivity	Acute Systemic Toxicity	Material-Related Pyrogenicity	Subacute/Subchronic Toxicity	Genotoxicity	Implantation	Hemocompatibility	Chronic Toxicity	Carcinogenicity	Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity	Degradation			
External communicating device (continued)	Tissue/bone/dentin	A - limited (<24 h)	C	X	X	O	X	O	X	X	O	X	O	O				
		B - prolonged (>24 h to 30 d)	A	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		C - permanent (>30 d)	B	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O	
	Circulating blood	A	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		B	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		C	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
Implant device	Tissue/bone	A	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O			
		B	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		C	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
	Blood	A	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		B	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		
		C	X	X	X	X	O	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	O	O		

Biological Effects
Cytotoxicity
Sensitization
Irritation - intracutaneous Reactivity
Acute Systemic Toxicity
material-Mediated Pyrogenicity
Subacute/Subchronic Toxicity
Genotoxicity
Implantation
Hemocompatibility
Chronic Toxicity
Carcinogenicity
Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity
Degradation

X = ISO 10993-1:2009 recommended endpoints for consideration*
O = Additional FDA recommended endpoints for consideration*






Characterization Methods

- Identification of a materials constituents and:
 - Changes of the material over time,
 - Changes with exposure to different environments,
 - Lot-to-lot consistency for manufacturing purposes.
- Methodologies:
 - Infrared spectral analysis (IR),
 - Thermal analysis,
 - Density analysis,
 - Molecular weight distribution,
 - Mechanical properties,
 - Surface properties,
 - Extract Characterization – Gas & Liquid Chromatography.

Steven S. Sallterman

Infrared Spectral Analysis (IR)...




Steven S. Sallterman

Albert, DE: "The important role of material and chemical characterization in device evaluation. Medical Device Technology, Octo Media Ltd, June 2004

- Useful in material identification and for following polymer degradation.
- Molecules absorb specific frequencies that are characteristic of their structure. These absorptions are resonant frequencies, i.e. the frequency of the absorbed radiation matches the transition energy of the bond or group that vibrates.
- A basic IR spectrum is essentially a graph of infrared light absorbance (or transmittance) on the vertical axis vs. frequency or wavelength on the horizontal axis.
- Typical units of frequency used in IR spectra are reciprocal centimeters (sometimes called wave numbers), with the symbol cm^{-1}

Steven S. Sallterman


Purified Water Extracts...



Steven S. Sallterman

Albert, DE. "The important role of material and chemical characterization in device evaluation. Medical Device Technology, Octo Media Ltd, June 2004

Isopropyl Alcohol Extracts...



Steven S. Sallterman


Albert, DE. "The important role of material and chemical characterization in device evaluation. Medical Device Technology, Octo Media Ltd, June 2004

Cytotoxicity


- Cytotoxicity refers to cell damage caused by materials, either by direct contact or by leachable substances (extracts).
- Cell damage may occur by a variety of means including activation of the complement system.
 - The complement system involves serum factors that are activated in the presence of antigen-antibody binding, bacteria and viruses, or foreign materials.

Steven S. Sallterman

Mouse Fibroblast Cells...



Normal



Cytotoxicity
Exposure to Toxic Extract

Steven S. Sallerman

Image Courtesy of NAMSA - North American Science Associates

Sensitization

- Sensitization refers to a material's ability to *induce* specific *delayed-type hypersensitivity* in the body upon initial exposure:
 - Haptens,
 - Langerhans cells and T-cell lymphocytes,
 - Lymphokines.
- Testing:
 - Guinea pig maximization test (GPMT),
 - Closed-patch test (Buehler test),
 - Murine Local Lymph Node Assay.

Steven S. Sallerman

Guinea Pig Maximization Test ...



- For the induction phase, a sample volume of 0.1 mL is injected at the prepared site. Seven days later the topical induction is performed.
 - A saturated filter paper or gauze is applied over the skin injection site by an occlusive dressing and torso wrap for a period of two days.
- The challenge phase is performed two weeks later by administering the sample topically to sites not previously used for the induction phase.
 - The dressings are applied for one day and removed.
 - The appearance of the skin is then reviewed and graded at one and two days.

Steven S. Sallerman

Irritation

- Irritation refers to a *non-specific inflammatory* response to a single, repeated or continuous application of a material.
- Areas tested:
 - Skin,
 - Eyes,
 - Oral mucosa,
 - Genitalia,
 - Rectum.
- Rabbits and human subjects are often used.

Steven S. Sallterman

Dermal Irritation...



- Shown is erythema and edema (swelling) about the intracutaneous injection sites on a rabbit test animal.
- For rabbits, the samples may be solid, powders or liquids and are applied directly to the skin for 4 hours.
- The appearance at each application site is assessed at 1, 24, 48 and 72 hours. Repeated exposure may be performed.

Steven S. Sallterman

Systemic Toxicity – Whole Body

- Systemic toxicity (body at large):
 - *Acute toxicity* - within 24 hours,
 - *Subacute toxicity* - single dose or multiple doses of a test sample during a period from 14 to 28 days,
 - *Subchronic toxicity* - at 90 days, but not exceeding 10% of the life cycle of the device,
 - *Chronic toxicity* - single or multiple exposures to medical devices, materials and extracts during at least 10% of their lifespan of the test animal.


Steven S. Sallterman

Genotoxicity

- Gene or point mutations, small deletions, mitotic recombination or microscopically visible chromosome changes.
- Studies available:
 - Ames bacterial reverse mutation assay,
 - Mouse lymphoma assay,
 - Chinese hamster ovary cells,
 - Mouse bone marrow micronucleus test.

Steven S. Sallterman

Chromosomal Aberrations...




On the left are negative controls, and on the positive controls, the latter showing a karyotype with chromosomal aberrations.


Steven S. Sallterman

Image Courtesy of NAMSA


Implantation Histological Changes



Slight irritation - mild infiltration of lymphocytes



Severe lymphohistiocytic response.



Fibrous encapsulation around a previously implanted test material.

Steven S. Sallterman

Image courtesy of NAMSA


Types of Histological Findings...

- The extent of fibrous capsular involvement around the device and adjoining tissue.
- Tissue inflammatory changes, including polynuclear leucocytes, lymphocytes, plasma cells, eosinophils, macrophages and multinucleated cells.
- Presence of tissue necrosis, capillary wall breakdown or other deterioration.
- Material debris, fatty infiltration and granuloma formation.
- Quality and quantity of tissue ingrowth into porous materials.

Steven S. Sallerman

Hemocompatibility



- Hemocompatibility tests evaluate the effects of medical devices or materials that are in contact (or indirect contact) with blood, on blood components.
 - Hemolysis is the abnormal breakdown of blood cells.
 - Thrombosis is the clotting of blood with obstruction of a blood vessel and potential for embolization.



Steven S. Sallerman

man, Encarta

Toxin Exposure...



Normal Red and White Blood Cells

White Blood Cell Karyorrhexis
(breakdown of the nucleus)

Steven S. Sallerman

Image courtesy of NAMSA

Degradation

- Degradation is the unwanted breakdown of implanted medical device materials.
- Ideally in an implanted device all materials of degradation are ultimately removed by the body without toxicity.
- Include:
 - Polymer degradation.
 - Ceramic degradation.
 - Metal and alloy electrochemical effects,

Steven S. Sallerman

Recommended Reading

Biocompatibility assessments for medical devices – evolving regulatory considerations

Lacey Reeve and Paul Baldrick

NovoClinical Strategy, Global Regulatory Affairs Strategy, Canaco Laboratories Ltd, Haringey, North Yorkshire, UK

Abstract: Biocompatibility assessment provides key data supporting medical device development and marketing. Although regional and international guidance is available, differences in proposed biocompatibility approaches or test methods tend to confound and perplex in generating the package of supporting technical data. **Keywords:** Medical devices, guidance for biological safety testing of medical devices, in vitro testing, regulatory requirements. These requirements continue to evolve, as those in regulatory and standards bodies, such as the ISO, have updated and revised standards, new ISO standards under development and new technical guidance from the ISO, which shows a shift away from traditional testing-based approaches and much greater emphasis on characterization, with use of existing literature as demonstration of equivalence to established comparable products, where possible. **Expert commentary:** This article examines the impact of recent changes in guidance for biocompatibility testing of new medical devices and offers the author's, at high level of complexity, new ideas on ISO and FDA requirements. While the ISO work under a 'flexible approach' is not finalized, allowing flexibility for global development of medical devices to proceed.

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1080/17445019.2017.1280392

Biocompatibility of polymer-based biomaterials and medical devices – regulations, in vitro screening and risk-management

Milakande Bernard, Emile Jubeli, Michael D. Pungente and Najat Yagoubi

Biomaterials play an increasing role in modern health care systems. Biocompatibility poses a significant challenge for manufacturers of medical devices and contemporary intelligent drug delivery technologies. Such extensive development to medical approval. Despite a highly regulated environment, biocompatibility evaluation of biomaterials for medical devices is a complex task related to various factors that include varying chemical nature and physical properties of the material, the contact time and duration of contact. Although international standards, such as ISO 10993-1, are generally employed to provide regulatory compliance needed for shared consensus or for initiating clinical investigations, they may not offer sufficient guidance or risk management perspective when it comes to choosing material or appropriate or step biocompatibility screening methods when developing medical devices. The global normative approach

Reeve L, Baldrick P. Biocompatibility assessments for medical devices – evolving regulatory considerations. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. Feb 2017;14(2):161-167. doi:10.1080/17445019.2017.1280392
Bernard M, Jubeli E, Pungente MD, Yagoubi N. Biocompatibility of polymer-based biomaterials and medical devices – regulations, in vitro screening and risk-management. *Biomater Sci*. Aug 2016;6(8):2025-2033. doi:10.1039/c6bm00516d

Steven S. Sallerman

Key Points

- Know the ISO definition of a medical device.
- Biocompatibility testing answers what two fundamental questions?
- The extent to which a material needs to be characterized depends on the type of material, end use of the device (is it a medical device?), function of the material within the device and availability of existing data on the material.

Steven S. Sallerman

Key Points...

- In the foreign body reaction, there is phagocytic attack and encapsulation of a device. Can you list the steps in this process?
- Biofouling is the process whereby functioning of a medical device is interfered with by the biological response of the host. How would you reduce this?
- The ISO 10993 International Standard pertains to surface devices on the skin, mucosal membranes, breached or compromised surfaces, external communicating devices with blood, tissue, bone, dentin; and implantable devices.

Steven S. Satterman

Key Points...


- The purpose of the ISO 10993 is to protect humans and to serve as a framework for selecting tests to evaluate biological responses.
- Characterization requires Identification of a materials constituents and changes of the material over time, changes with exposure to different environments, lot-to-lot consistency for manufacturing purposes. Do you know the methodologies?


Steven S. Satterman


Appendix

- FDA Biocompatibility Evaluation Flowchart.
- In-vitro tests for biocompatibility assessment and conventional cell model choice.
- Cytotoxicity assessment.
- Implantation
- Polymer degradation.
- Tested materials.
- Subparts of the ISO 10993

Steven S. Satterman







Cytotoxicity Assessment...

- Determination of cytotoxicity includes:
 - Microscopic (qualitative) evaluation
 - Morphology
 - Vacuolization
 - Detachment
 - Cell lysis
 - Membrane integrity
 - Quantitative evaluation
 - Cell death
 - Inhibition of cell growth
 - Cell proliferation
 - Cellular secretions

Steven S. Satterman

Implantation

- Tests for assessment of the local effects of implant material on living tissue.
- Comparison is made with reactions observed to medical devices whose clinical acceptability has already been established.
- Short term studies of less than 12 weeks implantation, and long-term studies of greater than twelve weeks may be performed.
- Solid implant materials for testing must be prepared in the same manner as they are intended for implantation, including form, density, hardness, surface finish, sterilization, and handling.
- Non-solid materials such as liquids, pastes and particulates may also be used, and be contained in polyethylene, polypropylene or polytetrafluoroethylene tubes. Controls of similar size, shape of surface finish should be used.

Steven S. Satterman

Polymer Degradation

- Chemical bond scission due to hydrolytic and oxidative processes.
- Enzymes, proteins and other cellular activity can alter the rate and nature of degradation.
- Ultraviolet cleavage of chemical bonds.
- Gamma and electron radiation that cause embrittlement, discoloration and thermal instability.
- Metal induced degradation from impurities, additives or hybrid construction.

Steven S. Satterman

Tested Materials

- Biosensors
- Stents
- Micro-Nano-Needles
- Micro-Nano-Reservoirs
- Micro-Nano-Pumps
- Micro-Nano-Actuators
- Tissue Engineering
- Coatings
- Self-Assembled Monolayers
- ISO 10993 Parts
- Biocompatibility Testing of Polymers

Steven S. Satterman

NFS Compositions...

TABLE I.2.10.2 "Non-Fouling" Surface Compositions

Synthetic Hydrophilic Surfaces

- PEG polymers and surfactants
- Neutral polymers
 - poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)
 - polyacrylamide and poly(N-methyl acrylamide)
 - poly(N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone)
 - poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (below 31°C)
- Anionic polymers
 - Phosphoryl choline polymers
 - Sulfobetaines, carboxylates, taurine-functionalized materials
 - Poly(2-methyl-2-oxazoline)
- Gas discharge-deposited coatings (especially from PEG-like monomers)
- Self-assembled n-alkyl molecules with oligo-PEG headgroups

Natural Hydrophilic Surfaces

- Bioactive proteins (e.g., albumin and casein)
- Polysaccharides (e.g., hyaluronic acid)
- Liposaccharides
- Phospholipid bilayers
- Glycoproteins (e.g., mucin)

Other Molecules (see Ostuni et al., 2001).

Ratner, B.D., A.S. Hoffman, F.J. Schoen, J.E. Lemons. *Biomaterials Science*, Academic Press, New York (2013).

Steven S. Satterman

Thermodynamics of Protein Adsorption...

TABLE I.2.10.1 Thermodynamics of Protein Adsorption

Favoring Adsorption

ΔH_{ads} - van der Waals interactions (short range)
 - ion-ion interactions (long range)

ΔS_{ads} + desorption of many H_2O 's
 + unfolding of protein

Opposing Adsorption

ΔH_{ads} + dehydration (interface between surface and protein)
 + unfolding of protein
 + chain compression (PEO)

ΔS_{ads} - adsorption of protein
 - protein hydrophobic exposure
 - chain compression (PEO)
 - osmotic repulsion (PEO)

Ratner, B.D., A.S. Hoffman, F.J. Schoen, J.E. Lemons. *Biomaterials Science*, Academic Press, New York (2013).

Steven S. Satterman

Biosensors

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	
			In Vivo Testing Model	Results
Biosensor	Zinc oxide nanowire	Intracellular K ⁺ detection	<i>In vitro</i> on human adipocytes and frog oocytes cells	Successfully measured K ⁺ ions concentration with small interference from other species
	Epoxy polyurethane membrane	Protective membrane for Ag/AgCl reference electrode	<i>In vivo</i> subcutaneously in rats	Stable for 4–8 months
	Polydimethyl siloxane (PDMS)	Noninvasive contact lens	<i>Ex vivo</i> on rabbit eyes	Successfully measured glucose concentration
	Sulfonic acid functionalized hydroxyl-terminated hyperbranched polyester	Antibiofouling coating	<i>In vitro</i> test on blood	Successfully integrated biocompatible, antibiofouling, and anticoagulation coating on biosensor
	Parylene probes with Platinum electrode	Cyborg eyes	<i>In vivo</i> on <i>Zophobas morio</i> beetles	Exhibited stable chronic insect sensory recordings
Stent-316 stainless steel, Ti-Ni-Ta alloy, Au-Cr film	Stent-type thermal flow for measuring nasal respiration	—	—	Response time: 260 milliseconds, and output frequency of 2 Hz

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for *in vivo* and *in vitro* biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Stents

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	
			In Vivo Testing Model	Results
Stents	Poly (D,L-lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) with sirolimus and triflusal	Bare metal stent	<i>In vivo</i> on porcine carotid artery model	Exhibited significant reduction in restenosis
	Formuda 418 Cook medical	FDA approved stent	<i>In vivo</i> on American Yorkshire pig	Successful reception of wireless data up to a range of 50 cm
	Liquid crystal polymer (LCP)	Hermetic packaging for wireless housing	<i>In vivo</i> on domestic pigs	Enabled precise and accurate measurements of wireless transmitted data
	Polyethylene stent with magnetoelastic sensor array	Wireless transmission	<i>In situ</i> on female domestic swine	Successful reception of wireless signals up to a range of 7.5 cm

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for *in vivo* and *in vitro* biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Micro-Nano Needles

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	
			In Vivo Testing Model	Results
Micro-Nano needles	Carbon nanosyringe arrays	Intracellular delivery	<i>In vitro</i> on cancer cells and human mesenchymal stem cells	Successfully delivered different cargos in cytoplasm of cell
	AFM silicon tip to nanoneedle	Intracellular delivery	<i>In vitro</i> on HeLa cells	Delivered proteins directly in cell
	MXCNT nanoneedle attached to tungsten tip	Intracellular biosensing	—	Exhibited detection of Glucose, ascorbic acid, cytochrome in volumes <1 µL
	Silicon based microneedle array	Intradermal delivery	<i>In vivo</i> on mice skin	Successfully delivered protein to dermal layer
	Electrodeposited metal microneedle	Intradermal delivery	<i>In vivo</i> on guinea pig skin	Delivered 90% of cargo within the skin
	Ultra-sharp silicon microneedle	Transdermal delivery	<i>In vivo</i> on human skin	Force of insertion of single microneedle less than 10mN
	Hollow silicon microneedles	Transdermal delivery	<i>In vivo</i> on diabetic rat	Unsuccessful delivery of insulin

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for *in vivo* and *in vitro* biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Micro-Nano-Pumps

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Micro-Nano pumps	Polytetrafluoroethylene (PEEK)	Material for tubing and fittings	Single cell manipulation	Achieved 98.5% cell manipulation success rate
	Poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA)	Diaphragm material	Single cell manipulation	1.3 mm thick diaphragm and dispensed volume varied from 500 μ L to 250 μ L at flow rate of 250 nL/s
	Parylene C	Material for bellows fabrication, housing for actuator assembly in electrochemical pumps	In vivo subcutaneous drug delivery in Mice	Measured flow rates varied from 1 μ L to 34 μ L/min and power required is less than 3 mW
	Silicone	Material for catheter	In vivo subcutaneous drug delivery in Mice	Minimized flow resistance and demonstrated optimal delivery to site with one sided valve in catheter to avoid back flow
	SAM-N: (methacryloxypropyl)-O-polyethylene oxide Urethane (PEOU)	Antithrombogenic coating	Ex vivo on rats	Imparted hemocompatibility to silicon based device

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Micro-Nano-Actuators

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Micro-Nano actuators	Electrostatic comb-drive	Mechanical testing of single cells	In vitro on epithelial cells	Measured stiffness, hysteresis, and visco-elasticity of adherent cells
	Polyvinylidene fluoride with silver electrode encapsulated in PMMA	Induces bone formation	In vivo in right hind limb of Merino ewe	Piezoelectric actuator stimulated bone growth and exhibited bone area increment
	C2C12-collagen film integrated with Silicon MEMS device	Molecular actuator utilizing glucose as power source	—	Depicted successful locomotive motion
	Parylene C encapsulated nickel based magnetic actuator	Clearing biological accumulation on catheter pore	In vitro on murine vascular smooth muscle cell line	Magnetic actuator successfully removed cellular accumulation
	Polypropylene based electrostatic actuation	Actuation controlled catheter tip movement	—	Required faster actuation speed to image using catheter.

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Micro-Nano-Reservoirs

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Micro-Nanoreservoirs	Gold	Sacrificial anode in controlled-release microchip	In vivo subcutaneously in Female rats	Gold corrosion found to be biocompatible. Voltage application reduced leukocyte concentration to control level
	Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA)	Biodegradable microreservoir body	In vivo subcutaneously in female Sprague-Dawley rats	PLA showed slow degradation as compared to the PLGA membrane.
	Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA)	Biodegradable membrane for microreservoir	In vivo subcutaneously in female Sprague-Dawley rats	Different molecular weights of membrane degrades over a span of time and showed pulse drug delivery behavior
	Carbon nanotubes coated by Poly (lactide)-poly(ethylene glycol) CNT-PLA-PEG	Nanoreservoir	In vivo in C57BL/6 mice	Biocompatible polymer coated CNTs showed less toxicity in in vivo and in vitro. Increased the drug efficiency from 12 to 50%.

Steven S. Satterman

Barkam, S., et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Tissue Engineering

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Tissue engineering	Core-polyethylene glycol/polyacrylic acid	Artificial cornea	in vitro for core-corneal epithelium cells for periphery-corneal fibroblasts cells	Successfully demonstrated cell growth with contingency on covalent tethering of collagen
	Periphery poly(hydroxyethyl acrylate) Resorbable chitosan	Increases implants stiffness, aids hemostatic and antiseptic properties and improves adhesion	in vivo in Wistar rats	Successful recording of physiological signals for over 12 months
	Stroma tissue	Artificial human cornea	in vitro fibroblast culture to reconstruct stromal tissue	Successfully bioengineered cornea and seeded endothelial and epithelial cells
	Biodegradable polyurethane scaffolds	Enhancement of mechanical properties	in vivo on skin of Wistar rats	Completely resorbed scaffold after 3 months with slight inflammatory response

Steven S. Sallerman

Barkam, S, et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Coatings

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Coatings	Dexamethasone loaded nitrocellulose coatings	Anti-inflammatory coating for neural implants	in vivo on adult male Sprague-Dawley rats	Sustained drug release for over 16 days
	Micropatterned titanium dioxide	Anti-inflammatory	in vitro on human neutrophils from blood	Pattern size dependent anti-inflammatory coating
	Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) hydrogel microparticles	Anti-inflammatory	in vivo on murine peritoneal cavity	Reduced leukocyte adhesion and attenuated the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines
	Nanosilver	Anti-inflammatory	in vivo on Sprague-Dawley female rat	Reduced cytokine concentration and lowered lymphocyte and mast cell infiltration
	Perfluorocarboxylate ionomer (PFCE)	Anticracking	in vivo subcutaneously in Guinea pigs	Reduced mineralization on coating and exhibited better cracking resistant property

Steven S. Sallerman

Barkam, S, et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Coatings Continued

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
	Carboxymethyl-PEG-carboxymethyl	Antibiofouling	in vitro on blood samples	Exhibited hemocompatibility
	NDGA porous collagen scaffolds	Angiogenesis stimulating antibiofouling and	in vivo subcutaneously in rats	Coating showed stability for 4 weeks
	Biochitin coated ethylene glycol	Hemocompatible	in vitro activity assay	Better anti fouling performance as compared to PEO brush

Steven S. Sallerman

Barkam, S, et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544–568

Self-Assembled Monolayers

Devices	Material	Functionality	In Vivo and In Vitro Testing Model	Results
Self-assembled monolayer	Fluorogenic acid coated 11-mercapto-1-undecanol Carboxyl terminal	Late stent thrombosis Deploying probes	In vitro on human aortic endothelial cell (HAECs) cultures In vivo on adult rats	Favored endothelialization Successfully deployed PDMS probes

Steven S. Salterman

Barkam, S. et al. Fabricated micro-nano devices for in vivo and in vitro biomedical applications. *WIREs Nanomed Nanobiotechnol* 2013, 5:544-568

ISO 10993 Parts

- Part 1: Evaluation and testing within a risk-management process
- Part 2: Animal welfare requirements
- Part 3: Tests for genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, and reproductive toxicity
- Part 4: Selection of tests for interactions with blood
- Part 5: Tests for *in vitro* cytotoxicity
- Part 6: Tests for local effects after implantation
- Part 7: Ethylene oxide sterilization residuals
- Part 9: Framework for identification and quantification of potential degradation products
- Part 10: Tests for irritation and sensitization
- Part 11: Tests for systemic toxicity

Steven S. Salterman

Reeve L, Baldrick P. Biocompatibility assessments for medical devices - evolving regulatory considerations. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*. Feb 2017;14(2):161-167. doi:10.1080/17434440.2017.1280392

ISO 10993 Parts...

- Part 12: Sample preparation and reference materials
- Part 13: Identification and quantification of degradation products from polymeric medical devices
- Part 14: Identification and quantification of degradation products from ceramics
- Part 15: Identification and quantification of degradation products from metals and alloys
- Part 16: Toxicokinetic study design for degradation products and leachables
- Part 17: Establishment of allowable limits for leachable substances
- Part 18: Chemical characterization of materials
- Part 19: Physicochemical, morphological, and topographical characterization of materials
- Part 20: Principles and methods for immunotoxicity testing of medical devices
- Part 22: Guidance on nanomaterials
- Part 33: Guidance on tests to evaluate genotoxicity - supplement to ISO 10993-3

Steven S. Salterman

Reeve L, Baldrick P. Biocompatibility assessments for medical devices - evolving regulatory considerations. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*. Feb 2017;14(2):161-167. doi:10.1080/17434440.2017.1280392

Other Relevant Standards...

ISO/NP TR 15499: Biological evaluation of medical devices – guidance on the conduct of biological evaluation within a risk-management process
 ISO/DTR 21582: Pyrogenicity – principle and method for pyrogen testing of medical devices
 ISO/NP TS 21726: Biological evaluation of medical devices – application of the TTC for assessing biocompatibility of extractable substances from medical devices
 ISO/AVII TS 29741: Biological evaluation of medical devices – development of tolerable intake values for DEHP
 ISO/TR 37137: Cardiovascular biological evaluation of medical devices – guidance for absorbable implants
 ISO/TR 37137-2: Cardiovascular biological evaluation of medical devices – guidance for absorbable implants – Part 2: standard guide for absorbable metals

Steven S. Sallierman

Reeve L. Baldrick P. Biocompatibility assessments for medical devices • evolving regulatory considerations. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*. Feb 2017;14(2):161-167. doi:10.1080/17434440.2017.1280392

How to Proceed (e.g. Polymers)



Bernard, M. E. Jubelt, D. Pungente and N. Yagoubi. Biocompatibility of polymer-based biomaterials and medical devices – regulations, in vitro screening and risk management. *Biomater Sci*, 2016, 4, 2025-2053
